

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/617,260	NAKAMURA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kara E Geisel	2877

All Participants:

(1) Kara E Geisel. (3) _____.

(2) William Kratz, attorney of record. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 September 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none.

Claims discussed:

1, 2, and 5

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A proposed examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance was discussed. See Office Action.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 SANDRA V. SMITH
 PRIMARY EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)